Dear Harold: 9 July 1998

I got your 7/3 letter yesterday evening. I had just sent off your latest bundle of chapters originals and retyped copies via express mail using the address on your last received envelope. Thanks for the addresses of Ginnochio, MacDonald, and Mills. I shall sent all of them copies of what I have retyped on diskettes. I seemed to have misplaced McKnight's phone and address if you have a moment to write it down for me. I now have all the others addresses in my computer to keep track of them.

Regarding the State job, it is a long shot. I am tired of battling the pervasive Mormon culture in southeast Idaho which effects nearly everything in the area. The "Bishops" of the local stake houses control politics, local businesses, and the news and TV coverage that is available in Idaho Falls, ID. I need a change before I become too cynical and potentially a "theist" which is something I would hate myself for doing. Please tell me more about your experience with McCarran if you are inclined.

With regard to your kidneys, I am ecstatic to hear that you have a good prognosis, post-dialysis. I had mentally ruled out a kidney transplant based on your anti-cancer medication conflicting with the anti-rejection drugs. In addition, your two (?) previous scrapes with death on the operating table (?) have me concerned about your survivability under the knife. An interesting coincidence that the individual you referenced as having a good experience with dialysis had also developed kidney dysfunction with the onset of congestive heart failure. I wonder if their is a physiological link. When do you go into the hospital for the shunt? How is Lil' doing. You did not say in your note.

With regard to scanning documents and the typing of the original in parentheses, your statement was conditional: *I fear most will not be able to bring that out. Suggest that you also type it in parens with an explanation for those who can't bring them out*. I don't understand the *for those who can't bring them out* part. Is the request condition upon getting a legible scan of the poor document or is it a request to retype *even if* the scan produces as legible document? I ave enclosed a "cleaned-up" scanned images of one of your pages as it looks before I send it to through optical character reading software. Attached to it is what the optical character reading software recognizes of it and represents the start of my work. A little time spent "cleaning" up the image enables me to quickly "process" your manuscripts as you have seen recently.

During my childhood, I lived down the street from a synagogue and a Catholic grade school while going to public school. I had quite the "multi-theistic" experience. My father was a Roman Catholic and my mother was an Episcopalian protestant. I would spend quite a bit of time in the Synagogue's kitchen's helping out as best I could as a pre-teenager. While your surname was Jewish I was not quick to assume you were. Do you still visit the Synagogue? Do you still adhere to the Torah's teachings? This is another facet of you I would be keen to learn more about. You have made several oblique references to your parents leaving Europe and your being born in America. What nationality were your parents before being American's.

I read you letter to Janet Reno of 6/30/98. Had you given thought to having McKnight or me cleaning up your text before sending it? It may be better received by those who are not aware of your none-use of word processors on a computer? While you may not get any better responses, you may be able to get a different receptivity.

I hope you didn't take my quick outline of my musings with my wife on the way back from Salt Lake City seriously. It was just an unresearched mind dump of possibilities than an academic/serious attempt at "solving" the crime which I am no where near capable of doing at this time and I believe ever.

I am working on Chapter 22 and have noticed that I have no chapters 23 and 24 but I do have chapters 25, 26, and a Forward and Introduction. Does David have chapters 23 and 24? The last chapters and the Forward and Intro came from you some time after I received the earlier chapters from David. I still don't have Chapters 1 to 8(?). May be they are on the way from David and just haven't arrived. I haven't heard from him since I spoke with him before he went east to see you. I would appreciate a copy of David's "Proceedings" if you get a chance.

Did I tell yo that while I was in Dallas, I picked up a new book called: *With Malice: Lee Harvey Oswald and the Murder of Officer J. D. Tippit* By Dale K. Myers? I appears to be written by another "I love the Warren Commission"-type author. This is the first book/manuscript I have seen written on J. D. Tippit's murder. Have you encountered any?

I noticed a CNN story on the Zapruder film and a *Cross-Fire* segment on the same channel yesterday while I was in the middle of an emergency drill. Our emergency operations center has CNN running on a video monitor to track news that may affect our facilities and the volume was muted and our attentions was directed to other issues. Were you in a position to

catch it?

It just occurred to me that new typewriters have a diskette based storage capability that may help your ribbon procurement problem and give you some capabilities that are less than sophisticated than a word processor. Their keyboards are just like your normal typewriter and their sizes is comparable to your current typewriter. They load paper just like a typewriter but each keystroke (I believe) is recorded and stored on a diskette. A liquid crystal display window set in the typewriter body allows you to see what you have typed and allows you to edit as you currently do. If ever the ribbons become too difficult to find, it could be an option for you to consider. I believe Smith Corona makes them as do others.

Must get some sleep because its 10:47 and I am well past my normal retiring time for work tomorrow morning. I will post this in the morning.

Clay

Clay O.

PRE - OPTICAL CHARACTER READ

In the FBI interview, as Posner does not say, Canipe told the FBI that the one deputy he saw, the one not named by Posnerwhen he did name Ghormley, the one who must have been Dollahite walked past his store, paying no attention to that package which had a rifle sticking out it - package that was o large and so visible and right on the sidewlak. hile it may be believed that the deputy, knowing there that king had been shot, would pay no attention to the very visible rifle in a so large a package (the rifle alone was well over three feet long), it seems more reasonable to believe that the deputy paid no attention to that package because he knew it had been reported.

In any event, Posner is unfaithful to the report he uses as a source in not reporting that the deputy paid not attentinn to that package.

Although you would never know it from whar Posner writes, Dollahite was also interviwwed by the FBI, by the same agent who inte viewed Canipe, and as the reader has no way of knowing, that interview is also in Memphis MURKIN 44-1987 and for one who knows Posner, it is not surprising that this interview report is in the very same file from which Posner cites his Canipe interview, Subfile D!

Dollahite said that after he heard the shot he jumped down onto Mulberry Street to run to the Lorraine. He went to underneath where Johnson says King's body was lying on the second floor balcony. As left the driveway "he dropped his firearm and had to stop briefly to pick the firearm checking it briefly, and then comtinuing. After going to the scene near where the body was lying and determining other officers were converging on the scene, he" left. He went north on Mulberry to Huling"he as joined byan officer named MALEY. They looked around as they hurried west on uling. Before they got to Main Street, Maley "stopped at that point tD observe what he wanted to observe and Dollahiete did not, Johnson does not say. The Johnson does say this about

Post Optical Character Read

In the F131 intervie,,7, as Posner does not say, Canipe told the FBI that the one deput-Y he saw, the on(-- not linifted. bv Posneilwhen he did name Ghormley, the one, wno must have bebn Dollahite walked past his store, paydng no attention to that packag~-~ which had a rifle was o large and so visible and

sticking out it - Y/ckage that
right on the sidewldk, hile it may be
believed that the deputy,, k-nowing there that king had been shot, would pay no attention to the very visible rifle in a so large a package (the rifle alone was well over three feet long), it seems more reasonable to believe that the deputy paid no attention to that prtckage because he knew it had be-on reported.

In any eventr Po.5ner is unfaithful to the report lie uses as a source in not reporting that the deputy Piad not attentinn to that package.

Although you would ftever know it from whar Posner writes, Dollahite was also in-tervi,,wed by the F.131, by the same agent who inte viewed Canipe, and as the reader has no way of kiiowing, that interview is also in Memphis 1,1URM 441987 and for one who knows Posner* it is not surprising that this interview repbrt is in the very s,.uue file from which Posner cites his Canipe interview, Subfile D!

Dol-IrAhite said Vial. after he hoard the shot lie jumped down onto Hulberry Street to run to the Lorraine. He went to underneath where Johnson says -inets ~ody was lyinj;, on the second floor balcony. As
his firearm and had tu stop briefly to pick

left the driveway "he dropped
the firearm checking it
briefly, and then comtinuingo After going to the scene near where the body was lying and determining other officers were converging on the scene, he" left, He went north on i-lulberry to **liul-ing."he** as joined byan officer named k1ALEY. They looked aroLuld as tiey hu'ried west on Wing. B efore, they got to **Main Streett** i'laley "stopped at tl)at point tD observe what he wanted to observe and Dol-1ahiete did not, -Johnson does not say. TW Joluison does say this about